
## FACT SHEET

# **NATIONAL STUDENT SURVEY** 2016

**B MARITIEME TECHNIEK** 







| CONTENT         |   |   |
|-----------------|---|---|
| 2016 <b>201</b> | 5 national av   | g |
| 4.13 4.11       | The level of your study programme   |   |
| 3.87 3.78       | The degree to which the content of your study programme meets your expectations |   |
| 3.56 3.42       | The match between your prior education and your visually programme              |   |
| 3.78 3.55       | The degree to which you find your study programme to be stimulating             |   |
| 3.59 3.59       | The match between the content of your study programme and current developments  |   |
| 3.81 3.72       | The coherence between the various components of your programme                  |   |
| 3.56 3.51       | The methods used in your study programme  |   |
| 2.90 3.00       | The scope provided to you to tailor your own programme                          |   |
| 3.82 3.76       | The intrinsic quality of the study materials                                    |   |
|                 |   |   |
|                 |   |   |

| GENE | RAL SKILLS   |          |
|------|--|----------|
| 2016 | 2015 natio   | onal avg |
| 3.81 | 3.68 Learning to have a critical attitude                                |          |
| 4.10 | 4.06 Problem-solving skills  |          |
| 3.87 | 3.72 Substantiating conclusions  |          |
| 3.46 | 3.49 Communication skills (e.g. oral presentations, conversation skills) |          |
| 3.95 | 4.03 Collaboration with others   |          |
| 3.58 | 3.54 Argumentation / reasoning skills                                    |          |

#### SCIENTIFIC SKILLS

| 2016 | 2015 |  | national | avg |
|------|------|--|----------|-----|
| 4.19 | 4.20 | Analytical thinking                        |          |     |
| 3.71 | 3.59 | The critical assessment of scientific work |          |     |
| 3.43 | 3.30 | Writing scientific papers                  |          | •   |
| 3.51 | 3.47 | Research methods and techniques            |          |     |
| 3.33 | 3.40 | Conducting research                        |          | •   |
|      |      |  |          |     |

## CAREER PREPARATION

| 2016 | 2015 | na  | tional a | avg |
|------|------|---|----------|-----|
| 3.42 | 3.32 | Acquiring skills for professional practice  | .        |     |
| 3.43 | 3.34 | The practical focus of your study programme   | .        |     |
| 3.48 | 3.49 | The link to professional practice (e.g. work<br>placements/internships, guest speakers) | .   .    |     |

| ACADEMIC GUIDANCE/COUNSELLING |      |   |         |  |
|-------------------------------|------|---|---------|--|
| 2016                          | 2015 | natior  | nal avg |  |
| 3.50                          | 3.49 | The opportunity for receiving guidance/counselling  |         |  |
| 3.50                          | 3.49 | The quality of the guidance/counselling offered   |         |  |
| 3.23                          | 3.09 | The degree to which your study programme shows<br>initiative in providing support or guidance |         |  |

| LECTURERS |      |  |  |
|-----------|------|--|--|
| 2016      | 2015 | national avg   |  |
| 4.22      | 4.08 | Your lecturers' expertise                                      |  |
| 3.40      | 3.36 | Your lecturers' didactic qualities                             |  |
| 3.57      | 3.65 | The accessibility of lecturers outside contact hours           |  |
| 3.48      | 3.59 | The lecturers' sense of involvement with their students        |  |
| 3.51      | 3.45 | The quality of guidance provided by lecturers                  |  |
| 3.31      | 3.35 | The quality of feedback provided by lecturers                  |  |
| 3.45      | 3.36 | The degree to which lecturers are inspiring                    |  |
| 4.05      | 3.98 | Lecturers' understanding of the field of professional practice |  |

## TESTING AND ASSESSMENT

| 2016 | 2015 | nati  | onal avg |
|------|------|---|----------|
| 3.56 | 3.49 | The clarity of the assessment criteria  |          |
| 3.78 | 3.69 | The link between testing and assessment and the<br>content of the study programme | •   •    |
| 3.78 | 3.62 | The quality of assessment of knowledge and<br>understanding                       |          |
| 3.67 | 3.62 | The quality of skills assessment  |          |

#### STUDY INFORMATION

| 2015  | national avg  |
|---|---------------|
| The information on your study progress        |               |
| The information on rules and procedure        | s             |
| 3.14 The information on the structure of the  | programme 🛛 🔻 |
| 2.61 The timely publication of results and as | sessments     |
|   |               |

#### PROGRAMME SCHEDULE

| 2016 | 2015 | nation  | al avg |
|------|------|---|--------|
| 4.01 | 3.87 | The timely publication of the programme schedules |        |
| 3.77 | 3.53 | The timely notification of schedule changes       |        |

#### STUDY LOAD

| 2016 | 2015 | nation   | al avg |
|------|------|--|--------|
| 3.62 | 3.34 | The distribution of the study load over the academic year                      |        |
| 3.59 | 3.57 | The feasibility of deadlines   |        |
| 3.03 | 2.76 | The ability to take the desired course components without encountering a delay | -      |
| 2.76 | 2.64 | The degree to which credits (ECs) correspond to the actual study load          | -      |
|      |      |  |        |

## GROUP SIZE

| 2016 | <sup>2015</sup> nat  | ional avg |
|------|--|-----------|
| 3.76 | 3.77 The group size for tutorials  |           |
| 3.12 | 3.39 The group size for lectures   |           |
| 3.47 | 3.55 The ratio of small-scale to large-scale teaching activities in my study programme |           |

## DETAILED **REPORT**



| INTE | RNSHIPS  | AND STUDY PROGRAM | ME           |
|------|----------|-------------------|--------------|
| 2016 | 2015     |                   | national avg |
|      |          |                   |              |
|      |          |                   |              |
|      |          |                   |              |
| INTE | RNSHIP I | EXPERIENCE        |              |
| 2016 | 2015     |                   | national avg |

| STUDY FACILITIES |  |             |  |  |  |
|------------------|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| 2016             | 2015 Na  | ational avg |  |  |  |
| 3.52             | 3.54 The suitability of the classrooms   |             |  |  |  |
| 3.20             | 3.14 The suitability of workplaces (e.g. computers, rooms of sufficient quality) | study 🗸     |  |  |  |
| 2.55             | 2.49 The availability of workstations (e.g. sufficient of workstations)          | number 🗸 🔻  |  |  |  |
| 3.71             | 3.76 The library / media centre  |             |  |  |  |
| 3.45             | 3.36 The IT facilities   |             |  |  |  |

### QUALITY CARE

3.68 3.63 The digital learning environment

| 2016 | 2015 | natior  | nal avg |
|------|------|---|---------|
| 3.53 | 3.42 | Teaching evaluations held among students                              |         |
| 3.07 | 2.94 | Information on the results of teaching evaluations                    |         |
| 3.19 | 3.03 | The way your study programme uses the results of teaching evaluations |         |
| 3.27 | 3.15 | The way your study programme responds to<br>complaints and problems   |         |
|      |      |   |         |

#### STUDY ENVIRONMENT

| 2016 <b>2</b> 0 | 015  | national avg   |  |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| 4.20 4.         | 20 The accessibility of your institution             |  |  |
| 3.22 3.         | 19 The restaurant/catering facilities at y           | our institution  |  |
| 4.19 4.         | 16 The bars, pubs, restaurants etc. in the studying  | The bars, pubs, restaurants etc. in the city where you're studying |  |
| 4.05 4.         | .01 The cultural scene in the city where y           | rou're studying  |  |
| 4.22 4.         | 03 The sport facilities offered by your in           | stitution  |  |
|                 |  |  |  |
| HOUSIN          | G  |  |  |
| 2016 <b>2</b>   | 015  | national avg   |  |
| 3.55 3.         | 52 The availability of housing in the city studying  | where you're   |  |
| 3.35 3.         | .35 The affordability of housing in the cit studying | y where you're   |  |

Significantly HIGHER
compared to national avg